



## Erratum: "On exact and approximate exchange-energy densities" [J. Chem. Phys. 110, 9360 (1999)]

Springborg, Michael; Dahl, Jens Peder

*Published in:*  
Journal of Chemical Physics

*Link to article, DOI:*  
[10.1063/1.480023](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.480023)

*Publication date:*  
1999

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Springborg, M., & Dahl, J. P. (1999). Erratum: "On exact and approximate exchange-energy densities" [J. Chem. Phys. 110, 9360 (1999)]. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 111(15), 7165-7165. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.480023>

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Erratum: "On exact and approximate exchange-energy densities" [J. Chem. Phys. 110, 9360 (1999)]

Michael Springborg

*Department of Chemistry, University of Konstanz, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany*

Jens Peder Dahl

*Department of Chemistry, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark*

[S0021-9606(99)00639-X]

In this article some misprints have come to our attention. Equation (7) contains erroneously a factor 2 that is kept in a number of subsequent equations, i.e., in Eqs. (23), (27), (31), (36), and (39).

In Eq. (38),  $\sqrt{(2l+1)/2}$  should be replaced by  $(2l+1)/2$ . The same error occurs twice on the right-hand side of

Eq. (39) with  $l=l_1$  and  $l_2$ , respectively.

In Eq. (19),  $A(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$  should read  $A(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$ .

In Eq. (36),  $d\mathbf{r}$  should read  $d\mathbf{r}_1$ .

We stress that none of these errors occurs in the calculations. Accordingly, our conclusions and results remain unaltered.